To: Giles-AA, Cynthia[Giles-AA.Cynthia@epa.gov]

**Cc:** Chester, Steven[Chester.Steven@epa.gov]; Bromm, Susan[Bromm.Susan@epa.gov]

From: Rader, Cliff

**Sent:** Thur 3/14/2013 4:29:17 PM

**Subject:** Timeline for KCH/Buff Mtn Coordination

Cynthia,

We've compiled timelines for the distribution and review of each chapter, the key dates for interagency review prior to the preliminary draft EIS review, and meeting dates regarding the Morgan/Atkins alternative. Other than what is presented here, there is not very much information to clarify what is driving the project scheduling. Region 3 has suggested it is probably applicant driven, and that the applicant felt like the NPDES permit objection slowed their progress down.

It is worth noting that the review of SDEIS chapters was intended to be an informal exchange of information at the request of the region to view preliminary chapters. As such, there is no "official" review time for preliminary documents and there has been no feedback from the lead agencies on the comments sent to date, with exception of the EPA provided potential alternative, where we did receive responses and draft text language for the DEIS.

## Interagency activity prior to review schedule-

- □ □ □ □ EPA made specific objection to NPDES 1/20/12
- • • EPA agreed to be a participating agency 3/16/12
- \textsup \
- □ □ □ □ EPA lifted objection to NPDES 10/26/12
- DDDD Permit issued by WV on 10/29/12

Chapters 1&2 – (turnaround time: 20 days, 16 working days)

• □ □ □ □ R3 received chapter 10/30/12, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 11/8/12
●□□□□□ HQ received chapter from R3 10/31/12
•□□□□□ R3 sent comments for HQ review 11/15/12
•□□□□□ R3 sent comments to FHWA/Corps 11/20/12
Chapter 3 – (turnaround time: 32 days, 23 working days)
• □ □ □ □ R3 received chapter 12/17/12, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 1/4/13
●□□□□□ HQ received chapter from R3 1/8/13
●□□□□□ R3 sent comments for HQ review 1/8/13, requested edits by 1/11/13
●□□□□□ R3 sent comments back to FHWA/Corps 1/18/13
Chapter 4 – (expected turnaround time: 18 days, 15 working days)
Chapter 4 – (expected turnaround time: 18 days, 15 working days)  • • • • R3 received chapter 2/25/13, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 3/4/13
• R3 received chapter 2/25/13, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 3/4/13
• R3 received chapter 2/25/13, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 3/4/13 • R3 received chapter from R3 2/28/13
<ul> <li>R3 received chapter 2/25/13, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 3/4/13</li> <li>HQ received chapter from R3 2/28/13</li> <li>R3 sent comments for HQ review 3/11/13, requested edits by 3/12/13</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>R3 received chapter 2/25/13, FHWA/Corps requested comments by COB 3/4/13</li> <li>HQ received chapter from R3 2/28/13</li> <li>R3 sent comments for HQ review 3/11/13, requested edits by 3/12/13</li> <li>R3 plans to send comments by COB 3/15/13</li> </ul>

•□□□□□ Overview of the work presented to FHWA/Corps December 4 <sup>th</sup>
●□□□□□□ Sent portions of work to FHWA/Corps December 20 <sup>th</sup>
• □ □ □ □ □ R3 received draft text indicating FHWA/Corps intend to dismiss the contractor alternative on 3/11/13, contractor's work intended to be included in Appendix to SDEIS